FHSMPP01/33

The Relationship between Quality Of Life, Self-Esteem, and Family Environment with Resilience among Orang Asli Youths in Gombak during COVID-19



Nurjuliana Noordin¹, Nik Nairan Abdullah¹, Raudah Mohd Yunus¹

¹Department of Public Health Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Sungai Buloh Campus, Jalan Hospital, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia.

INTRODUCTION

- □ Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) threatens everyone, especially the poor, elderly, disabled, young, and indigenous, generating uncertainty, routine changes, financial concerns, and psychological and emotional effects on society (1).
- ☐ Due to physiological and behavioral changes, adolescents and young people are especially susceptible to the mental health effects of stress; however, resilience research in this age group is lacking (2).
- ☐ This pandemic presents challenges to society, particularly in understanding and assessing indigenous resilience, particularly among the Malaysian Orang Asli.
- ☐ Thus, our research aims to examine the relationship between quality of life, self-esteem and family environment with resilience among Orang Asli youths in Gombak during COVID-19.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

- ☐ A cross-sectional study comprising Orang Asli communities was done in Gombak district, Selangor, between January and September 2022.
- ☐ A printed self-administered questionnaire as well as an online self-administered questionnaire using Google Forms were used to collect data from youths aged 18 to 24.
- ☐ Resilience was evaluated using the Conner-Davidson Resilience Scale-25 Malay (CD-RISC-25).
- ☐ While the WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire in Malay was used to measure quality of life (QOL), the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) in Malay was used to measure self-esteem, and the Family Environmental Scale (FES) in Malay was used to measure family environment.
- ☐ The data was analysed using SPSS version 28.0. The Pearson correlation test was used to analyse the relationship between quality of life, self-esteem and family environment with resilience.

RESULTS

- ☐ The total number of participants in the study was 158.
- ☐ The quality of life and its domain, self-esteem, and family environment domains were significantly positively correlated with resilience (Table 1).
- ☐ However, the religious and traditional practice domain under the family environment did not show any correlation with the total score of resilience.
- ☐ Therefore, resilience can be enhanced by good quality of life, high self-esteem and supportive family environment which did not include the religious and traditional practice.

Table 1: The relationship between quality of life, self-esteem, and family environment with resilience of participants (N=158)

Variable	Mean (SD)	P-value	Correlate
			coefficient (r)
Resilience	69.28 (14.52)		1.00
QOL	3.95 (0.76)	<0.001*	0.399
General health	4.15 (0.69)	<0.001*	0.304
Physical health	59.10 (10.43)	<0.001*	0.344
Psychological	60.76 (16.83)	<0.001*	0.456
Social relationship	71.54 (13.72)	0.003*	0.236
Environment	68.39 (13.29)	<0.001*	0.448
Self-esteem	35.77 (4.94)	<0.001*	0.277
Family function			
Togetherness and	18.13 (3.10)	0.007*	0.215
harmony			
Expression	16.01 (3.75)	<0.001*	0.268
Relationship and	18.83 (2.89)	<0.001*	0.424
family dynamic			
Conflict	16.55 (3.84)	<0.001*	0.261
Religiosity and	17.27 (3.18)	0.094	0.134
traditional practice			

*Statistical significant at α=0.05

CONCLUSION

☐ By developing culturally relevant approaches that avoid a one-size-fits-all approach and those that impose a western, biological programming framework on indigenous cultures, we can aid Orang Asli youths in becoming more resilient, improving their quality of life, boosting their self-esteem, and strengthening their families.

REFERENCES

- 1. Li F, Luo S, Mu W, Li Y, Ye L, Zheng X, et al. Effects of sources of social support and resilience on the mental health of different age groups during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry. 2021;21(1):1–14.
- 2. Sapiro B, Ward A. Marginalized Youth, Mental Health, and Connection with Others: A Review of the Literature. Child Adolesc Soc Work J. 2020;37(4):343–57.